ON GROUP RINGS OF NILPOTENT GROUPS

BY L. MAKAR-LIMANOV[†]

ABSTRACT

It is shown that if G is a non-abelian torsion free nilpotent group and F is a field, then the classical skew field of fractions F(G) of the group ring F[G] contains a noncommutative free subalgebra.

As is well known, if G is a torsion free nilpotent group and F is a field, then the group algebra F[G] is an Ore ring and has a uniquely defined skew field of fractions [6]. It is clear that this algebra does not contain free subalgebras of rank bigger than one. Nevertheless, it is true that its skew field of fractions F(G) contains free subalgebras of larger rank, if, of course, G is nonabelian.

We are going to use the following two facts (see, e.g., Theorems 1 and 2 in [4]).

LEMMA 1. Every nilpotent nonabelian group G contains a subgroup H with generators a and b for which $(a,b) = aba^{-1}b^{-1} = c \neq 1$ and (a,c) = (b,c) = 1.

LEMMA 2. If H is a torsion-free group generated by a and b such that (a,b)=c where c commutes with a and b then H/(c) is a free abelian group of rank two. (Here (c) is the normal subgroup spanned by c.)

THEOREM. If H is the group of Lemma 2 then the skew field F(H) of fractions of F[H] contains a free subalgebra of rank two.

PROOF. We are going to show that the elements $(1-a)^{-1}$ and $(1-a)^{-1}(1-b)^{-1}$ generate a free subalgebra. As the first step let us take the algebra L which consists of $\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b^i r_i(a)$ where $r_i \in F(c)(a)$ and k can be negative. The operations in L are standard addition and convolution which respects relation ab = cba, namely

$$\sum b^i r_i(a) \cdot \sum b^j s_j(a) = \sum b^{i+j} r_i(c^j a) s_j(a).$$

[†] The author is supported by NSF Grant No. MCS-8201115. Received May 31, 1983 and in revised form May 5, 1984

It is clear that multiplication is associative and that L is a skew field. It is also clear that the skew subfield of L generated by a, b and c is isomorphic to the skew field F(H).

Now, using reasoning similar to that in [5], we are going to show that the monomials

$$m_l = (1-a)^{-i_0}(1-b)^{-1}(1-a)^{-i_1}\cdots(1-a)^{-i_{k+1}}$$

are linearly independent over F.

In L we have $(1-b)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^{i}$, so m_{i} can be written as

$$m_{i} = \sum_{j} b^{j_{0}+\cdots+j_{k}} (1-c^{j_{0}+\cdots+j_{k}}a)^{-i_{0}} (1-c^{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{k}}a)^{-i_{1}} \cdots (1-a)^{-i_{k+1}}.$$

If we denote

$$\sum_{n \geq n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 0} (1 - c^{n_1} a)^{-i_1} \cdots (1 - c^{n_k} a)^{-i_k}$$

by $f_i(n)$ (here $i \neq 0$), then

$$m_l = \sum_n b^n (1 - c^n a)^{-i_0} (1 - a)^{-i_{k+1}} f_l(n).$$

Let us assume that m_i are linearly dependent: $\Sigma_i g_i m_i = 0$ for some $g_i \in F$. Then

$$\sum_{n} b^{n} \left(\sum_{I} g_{I} (1 - c^{n} a)^{-i_{0}} (1 - a)^{-i_{k+1}} f_{I}(n) \right) = 0$$

which means that

$$\sum_{l} g_{l}(1-c^{n}a)^{-i_{0}}(1-a)^{-i_{k+1}}f_{l}(n)=0$$

for each natural number n.

Let us consider now all possible dependences $\sum \lambda_i^{(n)} f_i = 0$ for $f_i(n)$, where $\lambda_i^{(n)}$ is obtained from a rational function $\lambda_i \in F(a, c, x)$ by substituting c^n instead of x. Among these find a minimal dependence, that is, a dependence with the following properties:

- (a) the number of coordinates in the index vectors I for f_I involved is minimal,
- (b) the number of functions with index vectors of this maximal length is minimal.

(We are choosing a dependence relation which satisfies (a) and then (b).)

^{*} This follows, e.g., from Proposition 1.2.3 in [1].

Here all "long" vectors are collected on the left side.

Let us consider now the relation

(2)
$$\sum \Delta(c_I f_I) = \sum \Delta(d_I f_J)$$

where $\Delta g(n) = g(n+1) - g(n)$, so for example $\Delta c^n = c^{n+1} - c^n = (c-1)c^n$. It is easy to see that

$$\Delta f_I(n) = \sum_{m=1}^k f_{I(m)}(n) \cdot (1 - c^{n+1}a)^{-i_1 - i_2 - \dots - i_m}$$

where $I(m) = \{i_{m+1}, i_{m+2}, \dots, i_k\}.$

Without loss of generality we can assume that one of the coefficients on the left side of (1) is equal to one. Now we can use the identity

$$\Delta(g(n)f(n)) = (\Delta g(n))f(n) + g(n+1)\Delta f(n)$$

and rewrite (2) as

$$\sum (\Delta c_I)f_I + \sum c_I(n+1)\Delta f_I = \sum (\Delta d_J)f_J + \sum d_J(n+1)\Delta f_J.$$

So (2) has fewer terms than (1) with long vectors. This means that all coefficients of f's in (2) should be zeros. Therefore $\Delta c_I = 0$ for all c_I , and hence $c_I \in F(a, c)$, and

$$\sum c_{I} (1 - c^{n+1} a)^{-i_{I}} - \Delta d_{J} = 0$$

where the summation runs over all I with the same I(1) and J = I(1). Thus

(3)
$$d_{J}(a, c \cdot c^{n}) - d_{J}(a, c^{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{I}(a, c)(1 - cc^{n}a)^{-i_{1}}.$$

In both sides of (3) we have rational expressions in a, c and $c^n = x$. If we regard a and c as parameters then equality (3) holds for infinitely many values of x. Now for rational functions this means that they are equal for every value of x (since a polynomial cannot have too many zeros). So (3) is valid for every value of x from F(a, c). The right side of (3) has a singularity only at the point $x = c^{-1}a^{-1}$. This means that $d_x(a, x) = d(x)$ has a singularity at $x = c^{-1}a^{-1}$ or at $x = a^{-1}$. If d(x) has a singularity at $x = c^{-1}a^{-1}$ then d(x) has singularities at all points $c^{-2}a^{-1}$, $c^{-3}a^{-1}$, ...; if d(x) has a singularity at $x = a^{-1}$ then d(x) has singularities at all points ca^{-1} , $c^{-2}a^{-1}$, ... because otherwise the right side of (3) would have a singularity at one of these points. But a rational function cannot

have infinitely many singularities, so (3) is impossible and so is (1). The theorem is proved.

COROLLARY. If G is a torsion free nonabelian nilpotent group and F is a field then the skew field F(G) contains a free subalgebra of rank two and so of every countable rank.

PROOF. By Lemma 1, the group G contains a subgroup H which satisfies the conditions of the Theorem. Obviously the skew field F(G) contains the skew field F(H) (see the previous footnote) and so by the Theorem, also contains a free subalgebra of rank two. But, as is well known, such an algebra contains a free subalgebra of every countable rank.

- REMARK 1. Clearly the result is true for locally nilpotent groups because any such group contains a subgroup H as above.
- REMARK 2. By a result of M. Gromov [3], the group ring of a finitely generated group G has a finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension [2] if and only if G is finite-by-nilpotent. From this result and from the Corollary it follows that if G is a finitely generated group for which F(G) exists and the Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of the subalgebras of F(G) are uniformly bounded then G is finite-by-abelian.
- REMARK 3. Suppose a skew field D over a field F contains two elements a and b such that c = (a, b) commutes with both a and b, and c has infinite multiplicative order. One can see that the proof of the Theorem above does not depend on the assumption that c is transcendental over F but only on the assumption that the sequence $\{c^n\}$ contains infinitely many different terms. Thus the skew subfield generated by a and b over F contains a free algebra.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank A. Lichtman who prompted me to write this paper and the referee whose suggestion helped make the note more readable.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. M. Cohn, Skew Field Constructions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1977.
- 2. I. M. Gelfand and A. A. Kirillov, On fields connected with the enveloping algebras of Lie algebras, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 167 (1966), 503-505 (English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 7 (1966), 407-409).

- 3. M. Gromov, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 53 (1981), 53-73.
 - 4. S. A. Jennings, Infinite nilpotent groups, Can. J. Math. 7 (1955), 169-187.
- 5. L. Makar-Limanov, The skew field of fractions of the Weyl algebra contains a free noncommutative subalgebra, Commun. Algebra 11(17) (1983), 2003-2006.
 - 6. P. F. Smith, Quotient rings of group rings, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), No. 3 (1971), 645-660.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY
DETROIT, MI 48202 USA